

Paper IAW BM Session 5, Sunday Nov 13 Item 35 UNSC

item 35, a.1.) UNSG- Again, it is not a woman !- And now and when?

Analysis: this last campaign was better and more powerful than ever and the first time reached global media and political attention. Stakeholder saw and supported the arguments. But, the old fashioned undemocratic (power) structure of the UNSC within the UN structure, especially towards GA, OHCHR or UN WOMEN is unchanged: no reform at all took place. The result is far from recognizing gender equality as a need. Female candidates came formally to interviews and showed their formal qualification; the men was informally launched , supported, certainly presented and accepted by the real ones who had the vote and day.

Where is our inside knowledge from the UN - representatives; can we use it and bring it in for our discussion?

It's time to already focus on the next UNSG: for this to become a success we need certainly the UNSC reform: led it become an ordinary composed body of the UN; not only for a female UNSG, but for better functioning and creating real peace, but first to make all member states responsible and accountable for this peace. The Crowd of states might be more intelligent than the few five.

Led us build on the convinced and increase the number of those who prepare for the next UNSG is a woman. The chances are higher, as more woman have decision making positions in the UN and states (esp. foreign ministers, but, as you can see, served in special crisis by a specific expertise). This has broken the consensus on the regional quota. May be one stone of the wall has been removed; with the next it would be easier.

- What can IAW do; what makes sense and is a contribution for the better?
- is it to do a 'homework' in our individual member states: for a reform
- can we have a pre-decision for a woman (a resolution, a decision)
- can we lobby in our regions (AU, EU, OAS...) to get regional groups of member states to reform UNSC in the UN and prepare for a successful launching a women for UNSG?

item 35, a.2.)UNSC reform- Do we lobby constantly enough for this? Do we believe in the option?

Who are optional (NGO, CSO, member state) partners -who else is interested in changing it to a 'normal and accountable body of the UN- (our representatives to the UN) as to its original duty to care for peace? How would the reformed UNSC look like , how would it work? Do we have a real position: what does IAW want?--> Led us describe it--> make a position paper;

item 35, b) UNSC Res 1325 and follow up resolutions- Changing the settings of **peace negotiations**- a mandate for the CSO and women (peace makers !) at the negotiation table/s.

- How and for what is IAW lobbying

- What's our vision, what exactly we lobby for?

- Whom will we lobby for what, which scheme of peace negotiations in which country/region? What About the format of the 'Geneva Syria talks"? Since WILPF invited and held direct contact to some women in the UNSG's envoys women of Syria advisory group,- what could IAW contribute?

Item 35, c) UNSCR 1325 and its unwanted dynamics- strengthening the militarism by equal inclusion of women?

- Mostly we think that 1325 with its NAPs and follow up resolutions has
- brought us progress
- opened UNSC for women, peace and security
- we are involved (who are we, in all countries, what about women in countries of war and conflicts and which women in war supporting or weapon exporting countries?)

To be honest: what is really the achievement and do we have negative side effects and how can be respond towards them?

Can we collect some facts and make an in depths analyses from our perspective? What is the result. What consequences will it have for our work: What is an adequate IAW contribution for the future?

Is there a collective approach, action, measure?